Pg 15
D19
D3A

Class "B"

WD 182 MBPH

App. F. IX—4

Previous References 19 ( )

File No. PWD 182

Serial Nos.

Later References:

THE MYSORE GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT

P.W.D. Major Irrigation Section.

Serial Nos

Part B for

SUBJECT

Approved revised estimate of B.R.P. —
dealing as original estimate

WD 8753—GPB—2,00,000—1-5-61

Preserve
Ammuntr 30/IV 64
US(T-2)
PWD(1)

24-8-60
20-1-62

Notes pages..... 18
Correspondences pages..... 30
~~C/B~~ Class..... "B"
~~For~~/Not for Section Note Book.....
To be destroyed after.....

Dy. ~~Under~~ Secretary to Government, PWD.

Roy 5.7.64

20/7/64

Mysore Government Secretariat

Approved Revised Estimate of ~~for~~ Rs 33.53 crores for Bhadra Reservoir Project to be treated as the original Estimate - order

[App. F. III 2

File No. RWD 182 MBP 60 of 195

Serial No.

Letter No. BRP/1/TA4/ dated 11-8-1960 from the Chief Engineer Irrigation Projects

1) The Chief Engineer's letter is for Revisal

2) On the circumstances explained there, he requests orders of Govt to treat the Revised Estimate of Rs 33.53 crores for Bhadra Reservoir Project administratively approved in GO No PWD 105 MBP 59 dated 3/4-8-1959 as original Estimate only.

File of PWD 105 MBP 59 has been indented for vide No. 31 75/61 31-3-61

3) File No PWD 105 MBP 59 is placed below for reference. The division of the Project Board held on ~~on~~ 25-6-1960 is at page 5 portion marked 'A' in the proceedings copy (flag 'T') placed below for reference. Technically sanctioned revised Estimate received from the CE 9P is placed below (flag 'D') for reference.

4) Draft GO is put up for approval.

5) Submitted for orders with FO's concurrance

24/8/60

6) Typed draft please

Typist

7) Typed draft is put up.

29/8/60

8) Draft GO put up is for approval with financial Concurrence

29/8.

Secy pw to

9)

G. V. K. RAO

FINANCE DEPARTMENT No. 2-3-1-4-60 3-0 AUG 1960 GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

SECRETARIAT TO GOVERNMENT No. MD 722 29 AUG 1960 PUBLIC WORKS & CO-OP. DEPTT.

Contd. Sheet

No.FD.2519/WW.

FINANCE DEPARTMENT.
WORKS & SCHEMES BR.I.

10) It is difficult to see how the revised estimate of Rs.33.53 crores for the BRP sanctioned in the G.O. of 3rd/4th August 1959 can be treated as original. From para 4 of the file No.PWD 105 MBP 59 ~~it~~ will be observed that an original estimate of Rs.17.75 crores was sanctioned in 1954.

11) It is however not clear what exactly is the difficulty. From the R.A.O's letter dated 17-6-60 at p.3/CS it appears that the objection is to the fact that some sub-estimates have been technically sanctioned even before ~~the administrative~~ a comprehensive technical approval is accorded. It is difficult to see how this objection or lacuna can be got over by treating the estimate sanctioned in the G.O. of 3rd/4th August 1959 as original. If the R.A.O. objection is as above, his attention may be invited to para 30 of the MPW of Code under which such sub-estimates can be technically sanctioned even before a final technical estimate is sanctioned as a whole.

1/9

CWRheddi
4/9

DEPUTY SECRETARY TO GOVT
2 SEP 1960
5979
FINANCE DEPARTMENT

12) I agree. I am unable to understand what exactly is the difficulty. Is it the suggestion of the RAO (comptd) that tech sanction cannot be given to any component part of a Project which is not included in the Estimate (whether original or revised)? The point is that

Rvd 182 MRBP 60

if any particular component is found necessary during the tech. scrutiny of a Project which has recd adm. approval, but which has not been included in the Project — Govt's orders will have to be ~~obt~~ obtained for including it in the Project for doing this whether the estimate, in which it is to be included, is original or revised should make no difference ~~Perhaps~~ P.W.D. may be requested to clarify 25/9/60

21/9

R. A. NAIK,
Dy. Secy./Finance Dept.
(Works and Schemes).

PWD

CWM
29

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
No.....
5 SEP 1960
PUBL'D WORKS & ELEC. DEPTT.

13) paras 10 to 12 are for perusal and for orders.

HRB 8/9. 8/9/60.

14) It has been accepted in principle by F.D. The doubts expressed in the above para may be got clarified by writing to the CE.

CO 8/9.

15) Draft is put up for approval.

HRB 12/9.

16) Issue sent today

CO 13/9

Despatched in No. P.W.D. 182 MRP 60
Dated 19th Sept 60.

Mysore Government Secretariat

[App. F. III 2

File No. PWD 182 MBP60 of 195

Serial No.

17) Reference to para 16 ante re-submitted HNS 19/9. BB 21/9/60. 18) For perusal. The CE's report may be awaited

lo 21/9

Secy) 19) I agree. Even if the Rev. Est. is treated as an original there will always be some modification or other later.

BB 21/9

20) CE's report may be awaited

lo 21/9

21) A DR reminder is put up for appl.

22) Issued.

S 27/10/60 BB 6/10/60 lo

Despatched in No. P.W.D 182 MBP60
Dated 12th Oct 60
BB

SECRETARY P. W. DEPTT 3264 21 SEP 1960 GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

Gen A Remind CE 24.9.60

[File put up with file No PWD 206 MBP60 is delinked]

Letter No. BRP/1/TA4 dated 25-10-1960 from the Chief Engineer CP Tumkur abad.

(Pages 19 to 23 c/t)

23) The Chief Engineer's report file for perusal with reference to paras 1 to 4 ante. This may be referred to D w.r.t para 12 ante for concurrence to the proposals in paras 1-5 supra

HNS 29/11

24) For concurrence

29/11/60 lo 29/11 D/SPW

K Sec 30/11

FINANCE DEPARTMENT No 3336 30 NOV 1960 GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

cwj 30/11

D)

Note.—Contn. Sheet

File No. PWD 182 MBP60 of 195

Mysore Government Secretariat

U.O.No.3336/W1. Finance Department
Works and Schemes 1

Serial No.

" Special Officer,F.D. for remarks please. 25

It is seen that although the original estimate for Rs. 17.75 crores was sanctioned in the year 1954 a detailed estimate was tenchnically sanctioned ~~by the C.E. in the year 1959, that is after the~~ work had progressed for five years. Even the present estimate does not appear to be complete in all respects and that appears to be the reason why the C.E. wants this revised estimate to be treated as the first estimate so that the officers on the spot can still have a chance not to adhere to the sanctioned estimate. In other words the Dept. wants that their officers should be allowed a free handle to excute the work as they want under the pretext of expeditious execution of the work.

Id/- M.Veeraraj Urs, 4/12
Addl. Fin. Secretary.

In respect projects of this magnitude, it is permi- 26

ssible to accord technical sanction to parts or sub-works of the project (without waiting for the technical sanction to the project estimate as a whole) with reference to the amount administratively sanctioned for such subworks or parts. Thereafter, each part or sub work will have to be treated as an independent work till that part or subwork is completed. In this view, the technical sanction for the project as a whole, referred to by the C.E. would not have much force.

WD 04700—GPB—PZS—8,00,000—1958

Serial No.

3396/W57

21 If estimates for parts or subworks had been technically sanctioned previously, they will count as original or revised estimates according as the original technical sanction holds good even now or that sanction has since been revised. A.G. may also be consulted.

Id/- V.Suryanarayanappa,
Spl.Officer (Fin.Dept.) 16/12

Id/- N.S. Bharath, 16/12
Deputy Secretary, F.D.

28 I agree with the views of the Special Officer. A.G. will also be consulted un-officially, if approved.

Id/- M. Veeraraj Urs, 17/12
Addl.Fin.Secy.

29 Id/- G. Mathias; 29/12
Secy.Fin.Dept."

EXTRACT FROM F.D. PAPLRS.

30 P.W.D. is requested to consult A.G. un-officially.

28/12
Under Secretary,
Finance Department.

P.W.D. 29-12-60
No.PWD 182 MRP 60

Date 24th Jan 61

31) This file may be referred to A.G. un-officially as suggested at para 27 & 28.

For favour of remarks

C.J. Venkatesa Das
20/1/61.

C. J. VENKATESA DAS,
Dy. Secy., P.W. Deptt.

Accountant General)

MJ 4266
30 DEC 1960
PUBLIC WORKS & ELEC. DEPTT.

W&M 4/1/61
U.O.No. 1
1/2/61
79/AG
31-1-61

Note.—Contn. Sheet

19/1
19/1

File No. PWD 182 MBP 60 of 196

Mysore Government
Secretariat

Serial No. 32

The administrative sanction to the Bhadra Reservoir Project has been revised twice from Rs.8.88 crores to Rs.17.5 crores and subsequently to Rs.33.53 crores. The latest Revised administrative sanction for the Civil portion of the work is for Rs.31.93 crores excluding the Electrical portion for Rs.1.60 crores. The fact that the administrative sanction for Rs.31.93 crores is a revised one as mentioned in the Govt.Order dated 4-8-1959 cannot be altered now to suit the requirements of the Public Works Department. The technical sanction to the estimate as a whole has been sanctioned by the Chief Engineer for Rs.31.93 crores in his orders dated 2-9-1959. Under the provisions of Para.30 of the Mysore P.W. Account Code, the authority competent to accord technical sanction to the Project as a whole may accord technical sanction to the component parts of the Project subject to certain conditions that have been laid down. Such sanctions to some of the component parts have been accorded prior to issue of technical sanction for the Project as a whole by the Chief Engineer. But these have been incorporated now in the technical sanction to the estimate as a whole sanctioned for Rs.31.93 crores. Consequently, this technical sanction will be treated as the original one.

As per the recent delegation of powers

WD 07273—GPB—PZS—8,00,000—1960.

Serial No.

to the officers of the P.W.Dept., sanctioned by Government in their orders dated 5-1-1960 and 15-2-1960, the Chief Engineer can accord technical sanction to all estimates irrespective of the amount, provided the administrative sanction has been previously accorded and also in according technical sanction to estimates exceeding Rs.50,000/- he should see not only that the amounts of the administratively sanctioned estimate is not exceeded, but there are no changes in the scope of work from the administratively approved provisions in regard to the several items of work in the estimate. If there should be changes in the scope of work, such cases should be submitted to Government even if the administratively approved provision is not exceeded.

34 As regards the Chief Engineer's powers to pass excess over estimates, it is laid down that the Chief Engineer can pass all excesses of not more than 15 percent of the amount of the estimate irrespective of whether the original estimate has been sanctioned by him or by any other authority and can sanction all excesses arising from deviations in quantities and rates not involving any major change in designs or specifications or in the scope of the work. In the present case, the revised administrative

sanction accorded by Government to the Project is for Rs.31.93 crores and the original technical sanction accorded by the Chief Engineer for the Project is for Rs.31.93 crores. It is, therefore, evident that any excess over the technically sanctioned estimate would exceed the administratively sanctioned estimate also. Consequently, the Chief Engineer may have to approach Government for further revision of the administrative sanction to the Project as the technical sanction including the excesses sanctioned by Chief Engineer should not exceed the administrative sanction accorded by Government, and as under Para.34 of the Mysore P.W. Account Code any excess over a revised estimate sanctioned by Government can be sanctioned only by that authority.

As a matter of fact a similar procedure is followed in the Madras State in respect of the powers of the Chief Engineer (Irrigation) regarding issue of technical sanction. An extract of Para.416(I)(b) of Madras Public Works Department Code is as follows:

"After administrative approval to a Project has been accorded by the competent authority, the Chief Engineer can accord technical sanction to detailed working estimates against the sanctioned provision under each head, provided that whenever any modification is proposed in the details of the scheme, involving a departure from the approved design or the alteration of other parts of the scheme or affecting the standard of efficiency or stability of the whole work, he should move the local Government to accord or obtain fresh administrative approval".

36. In respect of the Bhadra Project, as the technical estimate to the Project has been sanctioned by the Chief Engineer incorporating the latest trends in the design of Irrigation works which had not been considered earlier in the initial stages of the Project, it is not clear why he should anticipate subsequent changes in the technical sanctioned estimate also. It is desirable for the department to adhere to the technical sanction now accorded to the Project as a whole as far as possible. In the rare event of any deviation considered necessary in the further progress of the work within the powers of delegation to the Chief Engineer, he may have to approach Government for further revision of the administrative sanction only. In this connection it may be pointed out that the progress of work will not be held up by raising of any objection by Audit, as payments will not be delayed as a result of audit objection, as the amounts passed will be kept under objection till the requirements of the Code Rules are satisfied.

A

MYSORE GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT NO. 189 23 FEB 1961 PUBLIC WORKS MAJOR IRRIGATION BRANCH

MYSORE GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT TAPPAL SECTION 18 FEB 1961 SECTION MIC PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT

R. Chinnappa 16/2/61
(R. Chinnappa)
SENIOR DEPUTY ACCOUNTANT GENERAL.

(~~G.O. No. PWD 182/PDI. 60 dtd. 24-1-61~~ from the Secretary, P.W. Department, Bangalore) U.O
(A.G. Mysore U.O. No. WM. I/GC. II/488/60-61 D/16-2-'61.

37. A.G's remarks from paras 32 to 36 ante are for perusal and for orders for action.

Hms 20/2

(38) F.D may please peruse the comments of the A.G contained in paras 32 to 36 above and offer

182 MBP 60.

Their remarks

G. J. Venkatarao
28/4/61
AJSPAO

FD)

3/3

No.FD.675/W1.

FINANCE DEPARTMENT.
WORKS & SCHEMES BR.I.

39) P.W.D. is requested to furnish the following details as shown below so as to work up to the amount of Technical sanction for Rs.31.93 crores:-

Sl. No.	Sub-work of the Project.	Amount of 1st Working Estimate (Technical).	Amount of 2nd working Estimate, if any.	Amount of 3rd working Estimate, if any.	Amount of the latest Estimate (for the Sub-work).
1	2	3	4	5	6



Under Secretary 6/4/61
F.D., W.&S.
3/4

8/3/4

6/4

Ver to PWD 4-4-61

40) Information required to FD w.r.t prepare, will be obtained from the CE & Draft accordingly putup is for approval.

HNB
17/4/4

17/4/61.

41) Issue
6/4/61

Despatched in No. P.W.D. 182 MBP 60
Dated 24/4/61

Sus D
26-4-1961

Mysore Government Secretariat

File No. PWD 182 CMB 60 of 196

[App. F. II] 2

Serial No.

Letter No. BRP/1/TEC/TA4 dated 29-5-1961 from the Chief Engineer PP Tunnicabad (P 28 20 Ch.)

42) The Chief Engineer PP has furnished information required by FD. This may be referred to F.D w.r.t para 39.

HNS
21/6.

ws
26/6
WJSPWW

Hrs
27/6/61 FD)

No.FD.1929/W1.

FINANCE DEPARTMENT...
WORKS & SCHEMES BR.I.

43) The proposal to treat the present estimate as 'original' is not acceptable. It is a revised estimate and the A.G. also has endorsed the fact.

Intd. S.V.S. 22/7
O.S.D.

A.F.S.

Intd. M.V. 24/7
A.F.S.

F.S.

Intd. G.M. 28/7/61
F.S.

Intd. M.V. 28/7
A.F.S.

Extract from F.D. papers.

28/7/61

Under Secretary,
F.D., W.&S.

PWJ

44) para 43 ante is for Perusal. It is submitted for orders whether the Chief Engineer can be informed accordingly.

HNS 7/8

(45) Yes. Put up typed draft

ws
7/8

Hrs 8/8/61.

LP TOJ

Note.—Contn. Sheet

FINANCE DEPARTMENT
No. 1929/W1
26 JUN 1961
GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

SECRETARIAT TO GOVERNMENT
M 91266
3 AUG 1961
PUBLIC WORKS & ELEC. DEPTT.

Revised
Bhadra Project Estimate to be
headed as ~~Final~~ Original Estimate

File No. PWD 182 MBP 61 of 196

Mysore Government
Secretariat

Serial No.

(46) Reference to prepare typed draft is put up

How
16/8
01.

The draft put up for approval before issue. The subject was again discussed at the M.I.P.C.B at its meeting yesterday (16.8.61)

16/8/61.

CE
16/8
61



PWS.
HPWRB

47) (1) Typed. I am still unable to understand what practical difficulty is felt by the CE particularly in view of what is stated at 'A' in para 36.

23/8

48) Discussed today. The CE spoke also present.

CE
8/9

WD 07275—GPB—PZS—8.00,000—1960

Mysore Government Secretariat | File No. / 82 MBP of 1960. | [App. F. II] 2

Serial No.

49) The sanctioned Revised estimate is undergoing further revision in respect of some of the sub-items and the CE had to approach Govt for each and every excess over sub-items since he had no powers to pass any excess over R-E. This has become a difficult task and had told on the progress on works also particularly when they are in the closing stage

50) The matter was discussed at great length at the meetings of the Major Irrigation projects Control Board held recently. One question was further examined by the Secy PWD in consultation with the CE Irp today and it was felt that it would be necessary to relax the rules in respect of Bhadra Reservoir project only in view of the peculiar difficulties. To facilitate quick execution of the balance works, it is suggested that the following powers be delegated to the PWD officers in charge of Bhadra project work

CE. Irp.	To pass excess upto 15% over each sub-head of the sanctioned R-E.
SE. BR Circle and SE. BC Circle	To pass excess upto 12/- Rs.
All Ex. Engrs of BR and BC Circles	To pass excess upto 8/- Rs.

(This is as in the case of original estimates)

FD may kindly see for concurrence

6106/103
14/9/61

APS 5332
11/9/61

11/9

Note.—Contd. Sheet

12/9

S-J-Venkataraj
5/9/61
D.S. PWD

Sri M. Veeraraj and Addl Secy to FD

(45)

File No. 182 MBP of 1960.

Mysore Government Secretariat

No.FD.6106/W1.

Serial No. 51

FINANCE DEPARTMENT.
WORKS & SCHEMES BR.I.

The proposal of the P.W.D. does not appear to be covered by precedents. If the excesses at the rates proposed over each sub-head are allowed to be brought within the powers of P.W.D. Officers there will be - practically no control over the cost of the work as a whole. According to the practice that was being followed in the past, excesses were watched in audit with reference to the estimate as a whole. Within the - estimate, it is for the Divisional Officer to watch excesses with reference to sanctioned provisions provided there is no material deviation from the sanctioned design and estimate. In the case of a big project like Bhadra Reservoir, the estimate for the purpose of watching such excesses would have to be defined. Once that is done, excesses over such estimates as a whole only should be required to be covered by the sanction of Government. It may be mentioned in this connection that as variations in costs over sub-heads may become necessary in actual working, the P.W.D. Account Code provides that in such cases, if the Divisional Officer anticipates that an estimate is likely to be exceeded beyond his powers of passing the excess and it is too early to prepare a revised estimate, he will ~~k2~~ keep the higher officer informed of the position by means of work slips. The higher officer who receives the work slip is expected to take such action as he deems necessary. In this case also for the purpose of audit, the submission of a work slip may be considered sufficient regularisation of the excess. In this view, - the proposed powers appear to be unnecessary. What

WD 07273—GPE—PZS—8.00,000—1960

Mysore Government Secretariat

File No. 182 of 1960. F. III 2

Serial No.

What the P.W.D. officers should do is to report to the higher authority or Government such cases in detail by means of work slips regularly every month, by sub works which have been fixed as units for this purpose.

Intd. S.V.S. 28/9
O.S.D.

D.S.(B)

Intd. N.S.B. 29/9
D.S.(B)

A.F.S.

52 I agree with the view of the S/O, F.D. overleaf. If necessary A.G. will also be consulted unofficially.

"yes pl. consult the AG"

Intd. M.V. 31/10
A.F.S.

F.S.

Intd. G.M. 2/11/61
F.S.

Extract from F.D. papers.

4/11/61

Under Secretary,
F.D., W.&S.

PWD

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
No. 1820
6 NOV 1961

53 paras 51 and 52 are for perusal w.r.t para 49 and 50 ante. F.D. have not still agreed to the Chief Engineer's proposal. F.D. might be consulting AG. (See margin at para 52). It is not known why AG has again been consulted as this case has already been given an opinion by AG — paras 32 to 36 ante.

54) In this connection, it may be stated here, that in Govt. order No. PWD 153 MBP 61 dated 26/28 Oct. 1961 (file placed below) sanction has been given for continuance of

(X) flag 'X'

Note.—Contn. Sheet

17)

File No. PWD 182 MBP 60 of 196

Mysore Governmen Secretariat

provisional payments upto 31-3-196 Serial No.
or until orders are issued treating the estimate of Bhadra Reservoir Project as original Estimate, whichever is earlier. This order authorises the P.W.D officers sufficiently to overcome the audit objections coming in the way of speedy execution of work. In view of this it is for orders whether the draft letter put up. w.r.t para 46, is for approval and issue

Hold
27/11

53) With reference to the notes in paras 1-39 and 43 to 52 above, it is for orders whether the draft letter (placed below) rejecting the proposal, may be issued

54) This requires orders of the Secy.

28/11/61

57) pl. refer para 50 ante put up a copy of the relevant minutes of the M.I.P.C. Board

14.12.61

58) This matter had been discussed both in the Bhadra Project Board meeting and the M.I.P.C Board. Relevent

WD 07273—GPB—PZS—8,00,000—1960

Serial No.

portions of the proceedings of the meetings are marked 'A' 'B' & 'C' and flagged respectively Resubmitted w.r.t paras

HMS 28/1/2

28/1/61

59) For appl. of the draft placed below. (pl. refer paras 47 to 58 of the notes.)

KR 30.12.61 Dy PWD(I)

Secy P.W&E)

60)

3/1

M 922 2 JAN 1962 PUBLIC WORKS & ELEC. DEPTT.

61) Issue and put up.

KR 4.1.62

Despatched in No. P.W.D/182 MBP60
Dated 6 Jan 62
GS

62) Resubmitted with reference to prepara.

HMS 7/1

8/1/62

63) For Perusal. (34 c.f.)

KR 8.1.62 D.S. PWD(I)

Min P.W&E.)

64)

H. K. VEERANNA GOWDH,
Minister for P. W. & Electricity.

3319 Secretariat for PUBLIC WORKS & ELECTRICITY 15 M 9 12 PUBLIC WORKS & ELEC. DEPTT.

KR 15.1.62

65) This file may now be recorded under 'B' class.

HMS 19/1 19/1/62

KR 20.1.62

Note.—Contn. Sheet

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE.

TELE { GRAM; "PROJECTS"
PHONE:

Office of the Chief Engineer, P. W. D.,
Irrigation Projects.,
M U N I R A B A D (S. Rly.)

No. BRP/1/TA41
Encl 1 letter copy

Dated 11-8-1960

MYSORE GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT 17 AUG 1960 MIS PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT

HND 18/8/60

To,

The Secretary to the Government,
Mysore Public Works Department,
Bangalore.

MYSORE GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT NO. 8131 18 AUG 1960 PUBLIC WORKS MAJOR IRRIGATION BRANCH

Sub: Approved Revised estimate of Bhadra Reservoir Project.

B 13/5/60

Reference: G.O.No.PWD.105/MBP/59 dated 3/4-8-1959, according administrative sanction to the revised estimate for Rs. 3353.00 lakhs in respect of Bhadra Project.

Sir,

In enclosing herewith a copy of letter No.RAO/BRC.1/166.68 dated 17-6-1960, of the Resident Audit Officer, B.R.Project, requesting to obtain clear orders of Government in treating the above estimate as original one etc., I am to state that the above estimate for Rs. 3353.00 lakhs which was received sanctioned administratively vide. G.O.No. cited above, has been sanctioned in this office technically for the 1st time for Rs. 3193 lakhs vide to this office No.1531-36 dated 26-8-1959 (copied to your office) deleting the provision of Rs. 160 lakhs for power portion.

It may also be mentioned that the Project estimate as a whole never before had a Technical sanction. A few parts of the Project estimate which have been Technanically sanctioned were included in the main estimate and they are mostly the first estimates. This was also discussed in the 1st meeting (60-61) of Bhadra Project Board held at Bangalore on 25th June, 1960 and it was agreed that the present sanctioned estimate may be treated as the original estimate.

I request you kindly to communicate orders of Government treating the estimate sanctioned for Rs. 3353 lakhs vide G.O. No.PWA.105/MBP dated 3/4.8.59, as original estimate only.

Yours faithfully,

for Chief Engineer, P.W.D.,
Irrigation Projects.

gfd/9.8.

9/8/60

Fire copy approved by
Chief Engineer

3

Copy of letter No.RAO.BRC.1/166-68 dated 17th June, 1960, from the Resident Audit Officer, B.R.Project to the Superintending Engineer, Bhadra Reservoir Circle, B.R.Project Post.

Sub:- Approved Revised estimate of Bhadra Reservoir Project.

Ref:- Your letter No.JEC.1928 BRI dated 31-5-60.

The point at issue is whether the estimate for Rs.33.53 crores sanctioned for the Bhadra Reservoir Works should be regarded as 'ORIGINAL' or 'REVISED'. It is seen from the letters received from the department as well as the Government Order sanctioning the Project viz., Government order No.PWA.105 MBP 59 dated 3/4-8-59 that the estimate has all along been regarded as a 'REVISED' one. This point was referred to this office by the Chief Engineer, Irrigation Projects, and he was intimated in this office letter No.RAO/BRC-1/IX/12/60-61 dated 4-4-60 the point may be got clarified from Government. Actiontaken is not known to this office. The Chief Engineer may kindly be requested to take necessary action very early.

2. It is since verified that though the technical estimate for the Project as a whole has been sanctioned for the first time in CE's Nos. 1531.36 dated 26-8-59 technical estimate for parts of the Project have been sanctioned prior to 26-8-59.For example, a technically sanctioned estimate for the Bhadra Left Bank Channel has been in existence for over two years and it is not known how many other tachnical estimates have been sanctioned already. The department should be able to furnish details.

3. In view of the anamoliss pointed out above it appears necessary and desirable to obtain clear orders of Government in the matter.

Sd/-
(V.Narayana Sastry)
RESIDENT AUDIT OFFICER,

/"copy"/

for Chief Engineer, I. W. D.
IRRIGATION PROJECTS,
MUNIRABAD. (S. Rly.)
9/8

4/

M. G. S. App. F VI—G. 2]

SUBJECT Approved Revised Estimate of Rs 33.53 crores for Bhadra Reservoir Project to be treated as the original Estimate - orders of

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

Section

Serial No.

File No.

Mysore Government Secretariat

( )

the 195

Proceedings of the Government of Mysore

Read— 1) G.O No PWD 105 OIBP 59 dated 3/4-8-1959

2) Letter No BRP/1/TA4 dated 11-8-1960 from the CE&P Chamarajanagar

Preamble: In the G.O. of 3/4-8-1959 the Revised Estimate for Bhadra Reservoir Project was administratively approved for Rs 33.53 crores. The Chief Engineer has stated. ~~represented~~ that during the execution ~~of the works of~~ the Project it has become inevitable to undertake certain ~~some~~ works out which do not find a place in the sanctioned

Date of issue.....195

Signed by.....

Order thereon.—No.

May this be—

(i) printed?
(ii) treated as a Standing Order?
(iii) published in the Gazette, English Part?
(iv) translated?
(v) placed at the disposal of the Press?
(vi) published in the Gazette, Kannada Part?

5)

estimate for Rs 33.53 crores and that there have been no objections from the Accounts Officer for any modifications and alterations, treating the estimate as a Revised Estimate. To see over this delay the EE requested that the sanctioned estimate for Rs 33.53 crore has requested Govt for orders accordingly. The subject was discussed at the Bhadra Project Board meeting held on 25-6-1960 and it was agreed that the Govt sanctioned estimate may be treated as the original estimate and orders are issued accordingly.

List of Enclosures.

G.O. No PWD 182 MBP60 Bhore Dated.

Copied by

Examined by

WD-05448-GPB—P.Z.S.— 90,000—20-9-56.

6
7

M. G. S. App. F. G. 3]

MYSORE GOVERNMENT

SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation sheet draft form*).

Approval is hereby accorded for treating the Revised Estimate of Bhadra Reservoir Project administratively approved for Rs 33.53 crores ~~Cos~~ in Go. Ms. No. 3/4-8-1959 as the original estimate ~~of in respect of~~ the Project.

By order etc.

Dated
PWD

Copy to the CE OP Tumkur.
~~The A.G. Mysore Blore~~
The FAO BRP via Bellary Thro
The CAO BRP via Bellary F.D.
The SE BRC BRP via
The SE BC Bellary C. BTC
Chamrajpet Dy

Copy to OS.D.

24/8 24/8/60.

WD 04695—GPB—PZS—1,00,000—25-8-59

PROCEEDINGS OF THE GOVT. OF MYSORE

===

Sub: Approved Revised Estimate of ...33.53 crores for Bhadra Reservoir Project to be treated as the original estimate - orders of.

====

Ref: 1) G.O.No.PWD 105 MBP 59, Dt.3/4-8-1969
2) Letter No.BRP/1/TA4 dated 11-8-60 from the C.E., I.P. Munirabad.

Preamble:

In the Govt. Order of 3/4-8-59 the Revised estimate for Bhadra Reservoir project was administratively approved for Rs.33.53 crores. The Chief Engr. has stated that during execution of the project it has become inevitable to undertake certain works which do not find a place in the sanctioned estimate for Rs.33.53 crores and that there have been objections from the Accounts Officer for modifications and alterations. He recommends that the sanctioned estimate for Rs.33.53 crores may be treated as the original estimate for the work.

This subject was discussed at the Bhadra Project Board meeting held on 25-6-60 and it was agreed that the present sanctioned estimate may be treated as the original estimate.

Order No.PWD 182 MBP 60

Bangalore, Dt.

Approval is hereby accorded for treating the Revised estimate of Bhadra Reservoir Project administratively approved for Rs.33.53 crores in G.O. of 3/4-8-1959 as the original estimate of the project.

By order etc.

D.S. to Govt. (PWD 69)

To
The C.E., I.P. Munirabad.
The R.A.O., B.R.P., Via Birur thro.F.D.
The C.A.O. B.R.P. Via Birur,
The S.E.B.R.C., B.F.P. Via Birur.
The S.E., B.C.C., BTC. Channagiri tk.
copt yo O.S.D.

Appendix F. G.—1]

SUBJECT..... Ason P.I.C.E.

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

Mysore Government Secretariat.

( )

the *195*

Date of issue.....

Signed by.....

Despatched in No. P.W.D.
Dated..... 15/5/60

List of enclosures .....

Copied by.....

Examined by .....

Section

File No.

Serial No.

No. PWD 182 MBP60

To

The C.E.S.P

Tumkur.

Sir

Ref: Letter No BRP/1/TA4/ dated 11-8-1960

With reference to the letter cited, I am directed to request you to clarify whether the estimate is to be considered as "original" instead of "revised Estimate" when there is the possibility of obtaining Govt sanction for any component part of the estimate that was

not included in the administratively approved estimate or even technically approved estimate overall, ie the provisions in the estimate do not exceed. I am therefore to request to clarify the administrative or technical difficulties encountered with the present estimate, in consultation with R.R.O 18 also for further action of Govt.

ayl=

ee 14/9
Dto 14/9
Dr WP
HM
12/9.

10.

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE.

No. PWD 182 MBP 60

Mysore Government Secretariat,
Vidhana Soudha,
Bangalore, Dt. September 1960

Bhadrapada Saka Era 1882.

From

The Secretary to Government,
Public Works & Electricity Department,
BANGALORE.

To

The Chief Engineer,
Irrigation Projects,
MUNIRABAD.

Please Acknowledge receipt

Sir,

Subject:- Approved Revised estimate of Bhadra Reservoir Project.

-.-

Reference:-Letter No.BRP/1/TA4/dated 11.8.1960.

-.-

With reference to the letter cited above I am directed to request you to clarify whether the estimate is to be considered as "original" instead of "revised estimate" when there is the possibility of obtaining Government sanction for any component part of the estimate that was not included in the administratively approved estimate or even technically approved estimate, if the provisions overall in the estimate do not exceed. I am therefore to request to clarify the administrative and technical difficulties encountered with the present estimate, in consultation with Resident Audit Officer also for further action of Government.

Yours faithfully,

(C.J.VENKATES. DAS)
Deputy Secretary to Government,
Public Works Department (Irrigation).

With reference to the above, I am to state that any component part of the sanctioned estimate or revised could be modified or completed & another obtained provided it does not affect the total sanctioned cost of the project. Even in view of this, it is not known why the present revised estimate should be treated as a original estimate. I am therefore to request you to explain the administrative difficulties

PKL/2

7) difficulties if any which came in the way of submitting this and for which the sanction of Govt is required also.

182 MBP 60

15 September 1960.

Bhadrapada

The Chief Engineer,
Irrigation Projects,
MUNIRABAD.

Sir,

Sub:- Approved Revised estimate of Bhadra Reservoir Project.

Ref:- Letter No.BRP/1/TA4/ dated 11.8.1960.

-.-

With reference to the above, I am to state that any component part of the sanctioned estimate could be modified or revised and competent sanction obtained provided it does not affect the total sanctioned cost of the project. In view of this, it is not known why the present Revised estimate should be treated as a original estimate. I am therefore to request you to explain the administrative and technical difficulties if any which cannot be got over by modified estimate and for which the only solution is to treat the Revised estimate as a original one as suggested by you.

Yours faithfully,

(C.J.VENKATESA DAS)
Deputy Secretary to Government,
Public Works Department (Irrigation).

MKR/2

Mysore Government Secretariat

Approved Revised Estimate of Bhadra Reservoir project to be treated as "original Estimate"-

File No. of 196

[App. F. III 2 (13

PWD 10833 M 1960

Serial No.

Letter No. BRP 1/TA4 dated 25-10-1960 from the C.E.-

1) The Chief Engineers reply is furnishing necessary explanation with reference F.D.S. remarks File No. PWD 182 MBP 60 on this case has been placed in file No. PWD 806 MBP 60 for reference and the same has been refered to F.D. The return of the file from the F.D. file will be awaited and this receipt will be put up in that file for consideration after its return - for orders.

HSB 10/11

"A" may be opted

14/11/60

Yes
Lo
15).

Note: Contd. Sheet

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE.

GRAM; "PROJECTS"

PHONE:

Office of the Chief Engineer, P. W. D.,
Irrigation Projects.,
MUNIRABAD (S. Rly.)

No. BRP/1/TA4/
ENC. 1.

Dated 25/X/ 1960

To,

The Secretary to Govt.of Mysore,
Public Works Department,
Bangalore.

SUBJECT: Bhadra Reservoir Project - Approved revised estimate of Bhadra Reservoir Project.

Reference: Your letter No.PWD.182 MBP 60 dated 19th Sept. 60
This Office letter No.BRP/1/TA4/ dated 11.8.1960.

Sir,

The administrative and technical difficulties if the comprehensive Bhadra Project estimate is not treated as original estimate are as follows:-

i) The comprehensive detailed estimate for the Bhadra Project amounting to Rs. 33.53 crores is prepared for the first time. The design of the several works incorporates the present trends in the design of irrigation works, which had not been considered in the first estimate prepared long back. In a project of this magnitude there is bound to be some deviations during execution (without involving a change in the scope of the Project). All such deviations could be sanctioned if the estimate is treated as Original.

ii) The Chief Engineer is not empowered to pass any excess over a revised estimate sanctioned by Government. The comprehensive Bhadra Project estimate is sanctioned as revised estimate by Govt.

An irrigation Project involves several works of different types (viz roads, bridge, buildings, irrigation works etc.)

./P.T.O/

During execution of these works there is bound to be some deviations according to situations and may involve excess over the sanctioned provisions. If the estimate of the Bhadra Projet is treated as 'Original', the Chief Engineer will be empowered to sanction the excesses involved upto his limit of powers ~~will~~ with discretion. Now this cannot be done.

iii) The Chief Accounts Officer in his letter No.2666-70 dated 22-6-60 addressed to Superintending Engineer, BhadraReservoir Circle (copy enclosed) has stated that as the present estimate is a Revised estimate it may not be correct for the subordinate P.W.D. Officers to sanction workslip, revised estimates and modified estimate etc for the different compnent parts of the Project. Hence, though the subordinate officers are vested with increased powers as per recent orders, they cannot operate them, as this estimate is considered as a revised estimate. Hence, all such proposals are to be submitted by the subordinate officers for higher sanction causing ~~under~~ undue delay in the progress of the works. Due to audit objections in the these cases the work bills of contractors get delayed (majority of whom are ~~an~~ piece workers) with the result, the contractors are not ~~be~~ able to cope up to the tempo ~~as~~ at which the Government intends the department to push through the works.

2) The technical sanction for the entire estimate has been given for the ~~1~~st time only though administrative sanctions are revised. The estimate for the Civil portion works amounting to Rs.31.93 crores is sanctioned for the 1st time in Chief Engineer's No.1531-36 dated 26.8.1959. At no time the comprehensive estimate had been technically sanctioned excepting for parts of the estimate. The difficulties if this estimate is treated as 'Revised' was also explained at the last meeting of the Bhadra Project Board as already reported. The members of the sub Committee of the Standing Technical Advisery Committee which reviewed the detailed estimate for the several components of the Project also opined that this main estimate has to be treated as a first estimate for the purpose of administrative facility for execution of the works.

3. In view of the above facts I request you kindly

21
16

communicate approval of Government to treat the present Phadra Project estimate as 'original estimate.'

Yours faithfully,

for Chief Engineer, P.W.D.,
Irrigation Projects.

gfd/22.10.

Office copy
by C. E.,

93
17

Copy of letter No.2666-70/60 dated 22nd June, 1960, from the Chief Accounts Officer, Cum Financial Adviser, B.R.P. to the Superintending Engineer, Bhadra Reservoir Circle, B.R.Projet Post.

Sub:- Approved revised estimates of Bhadra Project.

Ref:- Your reference No.JEC 1928/BR I dated 31-5-1960.

In reply to the above letter, I write to invite reference to Resident Audit Officer's letter No.RAO-BRC-1/166-68 dated 17-6-60 addressed to you. I agree with the views of the Resident Audit Officer, on the subject. This matter was also discussed during the P.W.D.Officer's meeting held in your chambers on 16.6.1960. Though there may be some force in your views that technical Sanction has been accorded for the Project estimates as a whole for the first time by the Chief Engineer in his No. 1531-36 dated 26.8.1959 clarification of Government is necessary in the matter of treating the estimate of Rs. 31.93 crores as original or revised in view of the facts mentioned by the Resident Audit Officer in his letter dated 17.6.1960 referred above.

Till such clarifications are obtained it may not be correct for the subordinate P.W.D.Officers to sanction work slips, Revised estimates and modified estimates etc., for the different component parts of the Project estimate.

Sd/-
(N.Krishnappa)
Chief Accounts Officer,
B.R.Project.

/ c o p y /

Chief Engineer, P. W. D.
IRRIGATION PROJECTS,
MUNIRABAD. (S. Rly.)
24-10-60

16

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE.

MYSORE GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT
NO. 12958
GRAM: PROJECTS
TELE
PUBLIC WORKS MAJOR IRRIGATION BRANCH

Office of the Chief Engineer P. W. D.
Irrigation Projects.,
M U N I R A B A D (S. Rly.)

SECRETARIAT SECTION
16DEC 1960

No. BRP/TPC/1/TA4. Date 13-12-1960

TO,

The Secretary to Govt. of Mysore,
Public Works Department,
Bangalore.

SUBJECT:- B.R.Project Approved Revised estimate.

Reference:- This office letter No.BRP/1pTA4/25.10.60.

Sir,

I invite your kind reference to the above and request you to communicate approval of Govt. to treat ~~that~~ the present Bhadra Project estimate of Rs. 3353 lakhs as original estimate.

Yours faithfully,

for Chief Engineer, P.W.D.,
Irrigation Projects.

gfd/9.12.

12.12.60

Submitted

File No PWD 162 IRBP 60 wherein this proposal has been dealt, is [illegible]

19

put up to F.D on 29-11-1960. This may be opened to F.D for intimating the stage in the case

HM
26/12

For compliance
&
26/1
DS PWD.

25/11/60

FD)

FINANCE DEPARTMENT
No3663/ws
DEC 1960
GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

No3663.

The file has been returned to PWD. on 30-12-60 under diary no 3336. This may be returned to that dept. in continuation

Refd to PWD
sent to FSO
30/12/60 under dy no
3336/ws

K
&
29/12

31/12
&
31/12

Submitted
2/1/61

ws&w

PWD 5-1-61

SECRETARIAT
M 234
-5 JAN 1961
PUBLIC WORKS & [illegible]

5/1

~~D.O~~
20

Appendix F. G.—1]

SUBJECT Approved Revised Estimate of Bhadra Repair project to be treated as original Estimate

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

Section

File No.

Mysore Government Secretariat.

Serial No.

( )

the 195

No. PWD 182 IRB 60

To

The CE. & P

Bangalore.

Date of issue.....

Signed by.....

Sir Ref: your letter 1) No BRP./1/ TA4 dated 8-11-1960 and another of even number dated 25-10-1960.

With reference to the above communications ~~on the subject~~, I am directed to request Kindly you to furnish the details regarding the amounts of various revised estimates of Bhadra Project in the proforma noted below so as to work up to the ~~amount~~

List of enclosures .....

Copied by.....

Examined by .....

WD 04696—GPB—PZS—8 00 000—22-3-60

P.T.O.

2i)

amount of technical sanction for Rs 31.93 crores.

Sl. No	Sub work of the Project	Amount of 1st working Estimate for technical	Amount of 2nd working estimate, if any	Amount of 3rd working Estimate if any	Amount of the latest Estimate (of the sub work
1	2	3	4	5	6

The information required above may be furnished very early for taking further action.

sd/-

17/4
DSto G
PWD(G)

14/4

17/4/61

Despatched in No. P.W.D.....
Dated.....24/4/61.....

PWD 182 MBP 60

24-4-61
Vaishaka Saka Era 1883.

The
Public Works & Electricity Department.

The Chief Engineer,
Irrigation Projects,
MUNIRABAD.

Sir,

Sub:- Approved Revised Estimate of Bhadra Reservoir Project to be treated as original Estimate.

-.-

Ref:- Your letters No.BRP/1/TA4 dated 11.8.1960 and another of even number dated 25.10.1960.

-.-

With reference to the above communications, I am directed to request you kindly to furnish the details regarding the amounts of various previous estimates of Bhadra Project in the proforma noted below so as to work up to the amount of technical sanction for Rs.31.93 crores:

Sl. No.	Sub-Works of the project.	Amount of 1st Working Estimate(Technical)	Amount of 2nd Working Estimate, if any.	Amount of 3rd Working Estimate if any.	Amount of the latest Estimate for the Sub-Work.
1	2	3	4	5	6

The information required above may be furnished very early for taking further action.

Yours faithfully,

(C.J. VENKATESA DAS)
Deputy Secretary to Government,
Public Works Department (Irrigation).

O/C

MKR/2

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

22

Office of the Chief Engineer
Irrigation Projects,
Munirabad,
Dated, 29-5- 1961.

No.BRP/1/TEC/TA4.

No. 2 Sheets

MYSORE GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT
No. 5255,
7 JUN 1961
PUBLIC WORKS MAJOR IRRIGATION BRANCH

To

Secretary to Govt. of Mysore,
Public Works Department,
Bangalore.

MYSORE GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT
TAPPAL SECTION
1 JUN 1961
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT

Sub:- Approved Revised estimate of Bhadra Reservoir Project to be treated as original estimate.

Ref:- Your letter No.PWD.182 MPP 60 dated 24-4-1961.

Sir,

I enclose herein the statement in the prescribed proforma, as desired.

1/6/61

Yours faithfully,

for Chief Engineer, P.W.D.,
Irrigation Projects,

gfd/20.5.

2015/61

STATEMENT SHOWING THE AMOUNTS OF VARIOUS PREVIOUS AND PRESENT SANCTIONED ESTIMATES OF BHADRA RESERVOIR PROJECT.

Sl. No.	Sub Works of the Project.	Amount of Ist working estimate Technical (As sanctioned administratively in G.O.PWD. 2581-88/IRN/13.46.2 dated 7.11.1946).	Amount of 2nd working estimate if any (As sanctioned administratively in G.O. No.PWA.1834-36/IRN. 1-51-62 Dt.8/12.6.54).	Amount of 3rd working estimate if any (This was not finalised).	Amount of the latest estimate for the sub-works. As sanctioned administratively in G.O. No.PWD.105 MBP dated 3/4th August,1959.
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.
		Amount in Lakhs of Rupees.			
1.	Dam and allied works.	272.60	554.08	-	718.87
2.	Bhadra Reservoir Left Bank Chl.	32.30	63.00	-	120.28
3.	Bhadra Reservoir Right Bank Chl., Ist Section upto Tunnel.	196.00	415.00	-	660.63
4.	Bhadra Reservoir Right Bank Chl., IInd Section including Tunnel.	114.75	231.00	-	416.09
5.	Anvery distributary.	16.50	36.00	-	90.42
6.	Improvements to Sulekere Tank.	6.25	13.50	-	Nil.
7.	Malebennur Branch Channel.	42.40	80.00	-	229.00
8.	Davangere Branch Channel.	106.29	212.22	-	479.60
9.	Devarabilikere Pickup Reservoir.	Nil.	Nil.	-	40.70
10.	Harapanahalli tail end Distributary.	Nil.	Nil.	-	Nil. (included in Davangere Branch channel.)
11.	General Establishment.	Nil.	Nil.	-	141.65

/p.t.p./

25
~~30~~

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.
12.	Tools and Plant.	Nil.	Nil.	-	134.54
13.	Preparing report on Bhadra Reservoir Project and printing copies.	Nil.	Nil.	-	0.25
14.	Miscellaneous and rounding.	Nil.	Nil.	-	0.97
15.	Land Compensation.	Nil.	Nil.	-	160.00
16.	Power Plant.	101.40	160.00	-	160.00
	T O T A L: Rs.	888.48	1775.00	-	3353.00 *

* Excluding Power Plant provision, total amount will be Rs.3193 lakhs as sanctioned technically by C.E. in C.R. No. 82/59-60 dated 18/19-8-1959.

gfd/22.5.

for Chief Engineer, P.W.D.,
Irrigation Projects.
22/5/61

****

26
2

C. F. No. 45] GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

OFFICE OF
Chief Engineer, P. W. D.
IRRIGATION PROJECTS,
MUNIRABAD. (S. Rly.)

No. BRP/TEC/1/TA4
Encls.

MYSORE GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT No. 6033 19 JUL 1961 PUBLIC WORKS MAJOR IRRIGATION BRANCH

To Date 22.3

The Secretary to Govt. of Mysore,
Public Works Department,
B a n g a l o r e.

MYSORE GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT TAPPAL SECTION Sir 28 JUN 1961 SECTION PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT

MYSORE GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT No. PUBLIC WORKS MAJOR IRRIGATION BRANCH

Sub:- B.R.P. Works - Approved Revised estimate of Bhadra Reservoir Project to be treated as original estimate.

Ref:- II Meeting of Major Irrigation Projects Control Board held on 17th and 18thth April 1961 at Bangalore.

This office letter of even No. dated 29.5.1961, and 25.10.60.

I invite your kind reference to the proceedings of the II Meeting of Major Irrigation Projects control Board held on 17th and 18th April 1961 at Bangalore and request communication of orders.

Yours faithfully,

for Chief Engineer, P.W.D.,
Irrigation Projects.

gfd/22.6.

Submitted

File dealing with this has been sent to FD on 23-6-1961. At (PWD 182 MIB 60) ~~that~~

27 6033 6f 61.

is yet awaited. This may be referred to F.D for expediting in the matter.

ESO

HOD

27/7.

to

27/7 PWD

Sd/- 26/7/61.

FD

WORKS I

26/6/61

FINANCE DEPARTMENT
No. 5904 WI
29 JUL 1961
GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

5434/W1

Ref to PWD on

31.8.61 W(W) DO. No. 1929/WI

LBG
(Dy. SL PWD)

4/8

Serial No.

54384/CI — Fin. Dept was I

The main file in question was been returned to P.W.D. on 3-8-61 under ~~our~~ this Br. Dy. No. 1929. This may be returned to P.W.D with these remarks

Br. 5/8 — PrW-D — 5/8/61

SECRETARIAT TO GOVERNMENT
No. ED 1280
5 AUG 1961
PUBLIC WORKS & ELEC. DEPTT.

Note.—Contn. Sheet

7/8/61

Draft-

No.PWD 182 MBP60.

To:-

The Chief Engineer,
Irrigation Projects,
Munirabad.

~~Through I.D.~~.

Sir,

Sub:- B.R.P. ~~Works~~ Approved Revised Estimate of Bhadra Reservoir Project to be treated as original estimate.

Ref:- Your letter No. BRP/1/TA4 dated 11-8-1960 ~~and subsequent reminders dated~~ 25-10-1960; 13-12-1960; 29-5-1961; and 23-6-1961.

With reference to the above communications on the subject I am directed to state that your proposal to treat the comprehensive approved revised estimate of the Bhadra Reservoir Project ~~estimate~~ estimate as 'original' is not acceptable to Govt.

Yours faithfully,

30.12.61

D.s. to Govt., PWD (I).

Despatched in No. P.W.D. .....
Dated ....6/1/62.....

Copy to the Accountant General, Mysore, Bangalore.

16/8

16/8/61

~~Mysore Government Secretariat~~

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

Mysore Government Secretariat,
Vidhana Soudha,
Bangalore, dated 6th January, 1962.

No.PWD 182 MBP 60.

From

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Public Works & Electricity Department.

To

The Chief Engineer,
Irrigation Projects,
Munirabad.

Sir,

Sub:-B.R.P.Approved Revised Estimate of Bhadra Reservoir Project to be treated as original estimate.

Ref:-Your letters No.BRP/TA4 dated 11-8-1960, 25-10-1960, 13-12-1960; 29-5-1961; and 23-6-1961.

With reference to the above communications on the subject I am directed to state that your proposal to treat the comprehensive approved revised estimate of the Bhadra Reservoir Project as 'original' estimate is not acceptable to Government.

Yours faithfully,
Sd/-
(K.B.Murarappa)
Deputy Secretary to Government,
Public Works Department (Irrn.).

ncj/d.4162.

NO.PWD 182 MBP 60. Bangalore, dated 6th January, 1962.

Copy of the above is forwarded to the Accountant General, Mysore, Bangalore.

F.I.C.

Deputy Secretary to Government,
Public Works Department (Irrn.).

O/c